



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/628,718 07/28/2000		Tadayuki Sakakibara	500.38828X00	3342		
24956	7590 04/20/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	LY, STANGER, MALUR	PEIKARI,	PEIKARI, BEHZAD			
1800 DIAGONAL ROAD SUITE 370 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2189			
			DATE MAILED: 04/20/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/628	,718	SAKAKIBARA ET AL.				
		Examir	ner	Art Unit				
	•	B. Jam	es Peikari	2189	·			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠ Res	sponsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>28 February</u> :	<u> 2005</u>					
2a) <u> </u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
 4) Claim(s) 1 and 3-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Çlaim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1 and 3-27 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 								
Application l	Papers							
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 28 July 2000 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
2) Notice of I	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P n Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or s)/Mail Date <u>9/21/04</u> .		4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:		O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/628,718

Art Unit: 2189

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. With regard to applicant's request on page 16 of the remarks submitted with the amendment of September 21, 2004, the information disclosure statement filed July 28, 2000 is accepted because applicant has pointed out that the references discussed in the Background of the Invention section of the specification are the same as the foreign references listed on the IDS. The description of these references in the specification satisfies the requirement for a concise explanation of relevance.

Drawings

2. The proposed drawing corrections filed on March 1, 2004 are approved by the examiner. Corrected formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.

Specification

3. The previous objection to the specification is withdrawn for the reasons noted in applicant's remarks submitted with the amendment of September 21, 2004. The cumulative amendments to the specification, as well as the amendments to the claims, have clarified what the invention is actually about. However, applicant's continued cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Election/Restrictions

- 4. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-16 and 19-27, drawn to a cache system with speculative fetching and subsequent verification, classified in class 711, subclasses 137 and 213.
 - II. Claims 17-18, drawn to a general caching mechanism, classified in class711, subclass 118.
- 5. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as use without speculative fetching of data. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and because the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/628,718 Page 4

Art Unit: 2189

7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Peikari whose telephone number is (703) 305-3824. The examiner is generally available between 8:00 am and 9:30 pm, EST, Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Kim, can be reached at (703) 305-3821.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application/Control Number: 09/628,718

Art Unit: 2189

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 746-7239 (Official communications)

or:

(703) 746-7240 (for Informal or Draft communications)

or:

(703) 746-7238 (for After-Final communications)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

B. James Peikari Primary Examiner Art Unit 2189

4/18/05